SAV Mitgliederversammlung 30. August 2019

 $\bigcirc$ 

# Impacts of Taxes on the Solvency Ratio

Fabian Uffer Head of Group Financial Modelling and Risk Analysis SCOR



Any views and opinions expressed in this presentation or any material distributed in conjunction with it solely reflect the views of the authors and nothing herein is intended to, or should be deemed, to reflect the views or opinions of the employer of the presenters.

The information, statements, opinions, documents or any other material which is made available to you during this presentation are without any warranty, express or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of correctness, of completeness, of fitness for any particular purpose.



## Introduction to SCOR

Profital	rget		Solvency target			
Normalized RoE of 9.4%1 exceeds the 800 bps above 5-year risk-free rate target			Estimated solvency of 215% in the upper part of the 185%-220% solvency range			
€ 15.3 billion GWP in 2018		h largest einsurer	Optin	40%		
<b>S&amp;P Global</b> Ratings <b>AA-</b>	Fito	hRatings AA-	Financial Strength A BEST A-Excellent BCD-		Moody's Aa3	
Stable outlook	Stable outlook		Stable outle	ook	Stable outlook	



#### Introduction

## "Classical" full stochastic Internal Model



**Balance sheet** 





Conclusion

## Well-balanced portfolio continues to create strong diversification

YE 2018 risk capital breakdown by risk category In € millions (rounded) 3 203 P&C Underwriting on-year Life Underwriting 3 4 4 0 2019 Market Credit -478 Operational 235 5% Required capital before 34% 37% 22% 9 3 7 5 diversification and taxes 3% 50° Diversification 4 6 6 2 Taxes 499 10% 46% Group SCR 41% 4 213 0% 3%

- Underwriting risks are stable year-
- Market risk increase is driven by higher credit spread volatility and strengthening of USD
- Group diversification slightly improves, as increased market risk continues to diversify strongly





#### Introduction

<u> Fax Model</u>

Conclusion

## Loss Absorbing Capacity of deferred Taxes in Solvency



- Companies publish under Solvency II a wide range of tax impacts of on their solvency capital requirement
- This is driven by four factors:
  - 1) Tax Law under which the companies operate
  - 2) Individual Risk Situation of the Companies
  - 3) Different Tax Models and the corresponding parameterizations
  - 4) Non convergence of supervisory practice





## Taxes is one of the key difference of capital requirements between SST and S2



Introduction

- Overall solvency capital requirements are reducing from SST to Solvency 2 significantly.
- Taxes and the difference in risk measure are the most important drivers
- Other contains difference in operational risk, scenarios and other minor adjustments



< Me	ssages	Fabian	Contact
	Hi, I asked fr	myself why is the SST a pre-tax ramework? Any ideas?	
	Fat	bian, nice to hear from you we should go know Tax modelling is complicate	for Lunch. You ed!
the	Sure, it's not ea e SST actually them, but no economic reality	asy, but we model extreme events for even dependence structures between ot taxes? I mean it's an important y, you can't steer a company pre-tax!	
	E dis be y	But that's different I mean no one know tributions and their dependency structure wrong. That's different with taxes, there is ou can be wrong. And anyway it's more c	s the the full s so you can't s a tax law and conservative.
N	lot convincing what people ve	wrong choice of the SST? Let's see ote at the SAV Tagung in Luzern.	
ÍO'	Text Messac	1e	0

## Voting Risk Measure: Who does a better job, the EU or Switzerland?

ax Model

Conclusion





## What do we need to consider in a Tax Model? Difference in Valuation and profit earnings lead to DTL

Tax Model

Conclusion



Timing difference in profit recognition in the different valuation schemes lead to a deferred tax liability (DTL)

$$DTL = tax \ rate \ * (V_{S2} - V_T)$$

This is usually used to calculate the Own Funds under Solvency 2. To incorporate this in a stochastic setting one needs to project not only the S2 balance sheet but also a tax balance sheet since the «state of the world» at t=1 acts differently on the different balance sheets.



## What do we need to consider in a Tax Model? Tax losses can be used to offset taxable profits

Tax Model

Concl<u>usion</u>



If a company makes a loss in a financial year, it is entitled to use that loss in order to lower its taxable income in the following years. This "compensation" right has a value and needs to be reflected as a deferred tax asset (DTA) on the balance sheet.

This asset can be created depending on:

- when the loss occurred, e.g. certain tax jurisdiction limit the numbers of years that losses can be carried forward
- when there exist more likely than not future profits that can be used

















#### **Startup Company – Making Profits**

	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\Delta V$		10	10	10	10	10
$l_1$	0	0	0			
$l_2$	0	0	0			
$l_3$	0	0	0			
DT A	0	0	0			
<i>D</i> 1 11	•	0				
TP		2	2			
ΔŴ		8				







## Deferred Tax Assets – Example 2 – Case "enough" Future Profits

**Startup Company – Making a Loss** 0 2 3 4 5 1  $\Delta V$ -10 10 10 10  $l_1$ -10 0 0  $l_2$ 0  $l_3$ 0 0 DTA2 0 TP0  $\Delta \hat{V}$ -8 Fe e





## Deferred Tax Assets – Example 2 – Case "not enough" Future Profits







## Deferred Tax Assets – Example 2 – Case no Future Profits









	St	Startup Company – Making a Loss				
	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\Delta V$		-10	10	10	10	
$l_1$	0	-10				
$l_2$	0	0				
$l_3$	0	0				
DTA	0	2				
ТР		0				
ΔŶ		-8				





#### **Startup Company – Making a Loss** $\Delta V$ -10 -10 $l_1$ $l_2$ -5 $l_3$ DTATP $\Delta \hat{V}$ -8





## Deferred Tax Assets – Example 3 – Alternative Scenario at t=2

**Startup Company – Making a Loss** 

	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\Delta V$		-10	-10	10	10	10
$l_1$	0	-10	-10			
$l_2$	0	0	-10			
$l_3$	0	0	0			
DTA	0	2	4			
TP		0	0			
$\Delta \widehat{V}$		-8	-8			





## Deferred Tax Assets – Example 3 – Alternative Scenario at t=2

#### Startup Company – Making a Loss

	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\Delta V$		-10	-10	2	2	10
$l_1$	0	-10	-10			
$l_2$	0	0	-10			
$l_3$	0	0	0			
DTA	0	2	28			
ТР		0	0			
		~	~			
$\Delta \widehat{V}$		-8	-9.2			





**Examples 4-6** 



**Running Company** 







Tax-Rate is assumed to be 20%



#### **Running Company**

	t-1	t	t+1	t+2	t+3	
$\Delta V$		$\Delta V$	20	20	20	
$l_1$	-10		shou we	ld be a function o keep them consta	f ΔV ant	
$l_2$	0					
$l_3$	-30					
DTA	16					
ТР						
$\Delta \widehat{V}$		ΔŶ				





## How does the tax function now look like?

#### $\Delta \hat{V}$ as a function of $\Delta V$ with unchanged Future Profit assumption



## A

Enough profits to use the full «on balance sheet DTA» and pay additional taxes for the amount above, which leads to

## В

Profit in the year is not enough to compensate last element of the loss vector, thus

## C

Loosing "last Loss for future compensation" but can still build up DTA for all other losses

## D

Loosing "last Loss for future compensation" and future profits are not enough to build up DTA for all past losses





**Deterministic Models** 

- Typically used for Standard Formula but also for Internal Models
- In the SCR scenario a loss absorbing effect is calculated as a function of the tax rate, past losses, on balance profits for existing business and expected future profit assumptions for new business

#### **Stochastic Models**

- Used in Internal Models
- Models capture the sketched DTA dynamic and change for example the assumption on future profits as a function of the modelled loss
- Often revaluation DTL is treated in simplistic way (e.g. not using a tax balance sheet in every simulation scenario)
- Some degree of simplification in respect of Branches vs Legal Entities

## Stochastic Models with Multi-Balance Sheets

- Used in Internal Models
- Models capture the full DTL/DTA dynamic with multibalances for branches



Introduction

Tax Model

Conclusion

#### Comparison of Loss Absorbing Capacity by EU member states Conclusion LAC DT by net DTL and future profits plus tax rates 50% 40% 8 30% 12 20% -0 0 10% 0% GREECE MALTA CYPRUS LATVIA POLAND SPAIN ITALΥ EEA AUSTRIA GERMANY LUXEMBOURG NETHERLANDS NORWAY BELGIUM BULGARIA CROATIA CZECH REPUBLIC DENMARK ESTONIA FINLAND FRANCE HUNGARY IRELAND LIECHTENSTEIN LITHUANIA ROMANIA SLOVAKIA SLOVENIA SWEDEN **UNITED KINGDOM** PORTUGAL

net DTL LAC DT Future profits — Tax Rate





## At least three Open Problems

Tax Model

#### Conclusion

#### **Notation**

- Different Accounting Schemes
- «Proper» Economic Valuation
- Taking into account DTA, DTL
- Homework

### **Optimization**

- Optimize the capital with Legal Entity Structure and the corresponding branches that operate in different tax environments (boxes with colors)
- You can also do internal/external retro (red arrows)
- By the way there are pre-tax Solvency regimes in your group



## **Tax Allocation**

- Translate the «Capital Allocation Problem» to taxes
- Define what is a «fair allocation scheme», e.g. how do you distribute taxes between different risks with different Loss/Profit profiles under a non-linear tax function
- Needs a multi-year model



Tax Model

<ul> <li>Taxes are an important economic reality for companies</li> <li>While tax law can be complicated, there are models (obviously with certain simplification) that capture the main effects</li> <li>The specific company situation (e.g. past losses, tax regime, future expected profits from existing and new business) change the probability of being able to fulfill future</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>While tax law can be complicated, there are models (obviously with certain simplification) that capture the main effects</li> <li>The specific company situation (e.g. past losses, tax regime, future expected profits from existing and new business) change the probability of being able to fulfill future</li> </ul>	1	Taxes are an important economic reality for companies
The specific company situation (e.g. past losses, tax regime, future expected profits from existing and new business) change the probability of being able to fulfill future	2	While tax law can be complicated, there are models (obviously with certain simplification) that capture the main effects
obligations and should thus be captured in the solvency capital requirement	3	The specific company situation (e.g. past losses, tax regime, future expected profits from existing and new business) change the probability of being able to fulfill future obligations and should thus be captured in the solvency capital requirement

